

# SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS DIRECTIVE (SRDII) REPORT TO 31 DECEMBER 2020

## LEGG MASON IF MARTIN CURRIE GLOBAL UNCONSTRAINED FUND



MARTIN CURRIE

MARCH 2021

### 1. Introduction

As an active manager of long-term concentrated portfolios stewardship sits at the heart of our approach to investment. We are motivated by a belief that this both helps protect and enhance the risk-adjusted return on our clients' capital. Ultimately, we want to ensure that the interests of company managements are aligned with their shareholders (our clients), and that the former take this into account when making decisions. We place a particular emphasis on governance, strategy and capital allocation, and pay significant attention to material 'sustainability' (environmental or social) issues that might influence long term value creation. Our stewardship activity manifests itself principally in monitoring and engagement – both privately or in collaboration with other investors – and our voting activity. With regards to the former we build strong relationships with investee companies, ensuring that our engagement is not constrained by our clients' minority-shareholder status. Nonetheless, we will join collaborative efforts, particularly when deemed likely to be more efficacious than acting alone. Our voting policy is determined by our internal guidelines, with an expectation that companies will comply with (local) best practice or explain why this is not the case. Where clients assign us proxies, we will vote with their best interests in mind. When voting against management we endeavour to inform them of our rationale for doing so in advance of the vote so as to allow due time for a response. All resolutions are reviewed in this context, with the responsibility for initial proposals residing with the investment team with oversight by our independent Head of Stewardship and ESG, David Sheasby. As well as our internal research, we utilise the services of proxy advisor Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), which provides its own research on resolutions presented for company meetings. We use their platform to instruct the votes. Our governance and oversight documents, which apply across all regions, are reviewed annually, to ensure that we stay abreast of developments and best practice.

### 2. Commentary – Martin Currie Global Long-Term Unconstrained investment team

#### How do the main elements of the investment strategy contribute to the medium to long-term performance?

The Martin Currie Global Long-Term Unconstrained (GLTU) strategy targets long-term capital appreciation with outperformance of the MSCI ACWI over rolling five-year periods.

Our investment philosophy is that proprietary fundamental research can identify long term value-creating companies undervalued by the market. We elaborate on this below:

- The market is myopic and fades returns of quality growth companies too fast
- Our proprietary fundamental research framework is able to identify these companies
- Value creating companies compound returns over the long-term

Our objective is long-term capital appreciation, in delivering this return we would expect to outperform the MSCI ACWI over rolling five-year periods.

Returns are driven by stock selection and as an unconstrained strategy we have no limits on regional or country allocations. Risk management is embedded at every stage of the process providing full visibility on all aspects of the portfolio and the delivered outcome.

Governance and sustainability analysis is integrated into our approach with our analysis focusing on those factors that are potentially material to the investment case. We engage with companies both to build our understanding and to focus on change where we identify potential risks or an opportunity for improvement.

This strategy aims to generate significant real returns over the longer term and is unsuitable for investors who want short-term outperformance relative to an index.

#### How is the Fund managed in-line with the Prospectus?

The investment team maintains a strong understanding of their mandates and prospectus investment guidelines, and they are the first line of defence in our 'three lines of defence' model. The second line of defence is our Risk and Compliance team which uses a monitoring system called Bloomberg CMGR to code investment guidelines where possible. The third line of defence is internal audit which conducts periodic review and assessment of mandate compliance controls within the first and second lines. Portfolio managers receive regular daily portfolio positioning data generated from Bloomberg AIM, allowing them to monitor compliance with fund investment restrictions.

## 2.1. Commentary on specific Fund investments

All of our work on ESG/Governance and Sustainability is focused ultimately on the economic success of the underlying business – essentially understanding how these factors may influence the ability of the company to generate sustainable returns (over the long term). We also express these views in our Governance and Sustainability ratings.

We assess the material Governance and Sustainability issues at an individual holding and portfolio level using a combination of primary research and secondary resources which can be both qualitative and numerical.

In assessing Governance and Sustainability risks, we consider the materiality of identified issues, their impact on a company, and management actions (taken and proposed) to address them.

The top five holdings in the fund on an absolute basis and their respective Governance and Sustainability risk scores are as follows:

Stock name	Sector	Fund weight %	Index weight %	Active Weight %	Governance Score	Sustainability Score
<b>Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company</b>	Technology	5.30	0.78	4.52	3.2	3.1
<b>Masimo Corp</b>	Health Care	4.52	0.02	4.50	3.0	2.3
<b>Microsoft Corp</b>	Technology	4.00	2.70	1.30	2.3	2.0
<b>Moncler SpA</b>	Consumer Goods	3.90	0.02	3.88	2.3	2.1
<b>Wuxi Biologics</b>	Health Care	3.89	0.07	3.82	2.7	2.4

Source: Martin Currie as at 31 December 2020. Legg Mason IF Martin Currie Global Unconstrained Fund. Index: MSCI All Countries World Index (GBP). We use a range of quantitative and qualitative inputs to identify each company's potential exposure to ESG risks as well as its management of ESG risks. This ESG risk analysis helps us form a company specific rating for Governance and Sustainability (environmental and social) respectively. We apply a risk rating from 1 (low risk) to 5 (high risk).

Below we summarise the key ESG risks across the top five holdings and provide further commentary from the fund where we feel warranted.

**Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company.** With the growth of fabless semiconductor design, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC) has been taking share at each new technology node. The company has been able to use this enviable market position to price its services appropriately to make a good return on capital. TSMC should benefit from the continued growth of the semiconductor market and, in particular, the requirement for faster and less power-hungry chips. TSMC currently scores well on our proprietary scoring system, with good transparency on overall operations and strong reporting practices. More broadly, the extent to which artificial intelligence is rapidly being adopted to answer many sustainability issues will be beneficial to TSMC as a leading chip manufacturer. Pertinent risks from an ESG perspective include water scarcity – because of the quantities of water required in its production process – as well as exposure to potentially rapidly changing regulatory and geopolitical environments, specifically because its production base in Taiwan. Elsewhere, the recruitment and retention of a highly skilled workforce and robust protection of intellectual property are also potential ESG-related risks to the investment case.

**Masimo Corp.** A manufacturer of non-invasive patient monitoring technologies, Masimo boasts high barriers to entry and 50% market share, with strong recurring revenues growing in double digits. We expect a group revenue compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 12% over the next five years. We expect the core business to grow at twice the market's growth and in high single digits, with ~20% growth from new growth products such as hospital automation making the difference (growing from 19% of the business to 30%). Because of strong leverage in the business and cost efficiencies we expect cost of goods sold and operating costs to come down to 30% and 40% respectively, ultimately driving operating margin up to 30%. Notable ESG strengths, in our view, are strong management and the company culture based on our analysis. However, the strength of management is also a risk

**The information provided should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular strategy/fund/security. It should not be assumed that any of the security transactions discussed here were or will prove to be profitable.**

in our view with key-person risk centring around Joe Kiani, the founder and CEO. We have had numerous interactions with the company and we have seen an improvement over the years in terms of compensation practices, key-person risk and disclosure.

**Microsoft Corp.** Microsoft is set to benefit from an increased share of growing technology intensity and IT spend globally; for example, through integrated offerings such as 'Azure' (preferred in the hybrid environment) and collaboration software 'Teams'. In security, Microsoft is also going for the end-to-end user integration. Competitive pressures, however, remain in cloud computing. Generally, the Software as a Service (SaaS) landscape continues to evolve, with new disruptive business models emerging. The market has also been questioning whether a continued margin improvement is going to enhance Microsoft's growth algorithm. Meanwhile, we are cognizant of an M&A risk, for example in the consumer arena, where Microsoft has a mixed track-record and so far, has stayed clear of the social networks. ESG strengths, in our view, are strong management and a relatively diverse board. We note Microsoft's positive contribution to tackling climate change and social issues with such initiatives as 'AI for Earth' and various educational programmes. There has been some incremental positive change to executive compensation, but remuneration and broader human capital management practices are the main ESG risks we continue to monitor.

**Moncler SpA.** Moncler has undergone a radical period of growth and investment in brand equity. It is the only focused outdoor luxury brand, built on superior functionality and high design/fashion, Italian and sports heritage. The brand is forensically focused on creating demand for its deliberately scarce, full-price product and cementing this with great customer experiences enhanced by progressive investments in digital and people. One of the key challenges it faces is traceability of supply, particularly on goose down – in this area it has led the market, creating clear and transparent protocols. By store, it is underpenetrated, especially in the Asia Pacific region, which means it is under indexed with the China consumer, the fastest-growing cohort. It is also increasing sales densities, moving into adjacent categories including knitwear, childrenswear, shoes and leather accessories. In December 2020, Moncler announced the acquisition of Stone Island. This brand has many of the traits of Moncler a decade ago and can leverage the global and digital infrastructure that Moncler has built during that time, while bringing with it a discreet brand equity and management team.

**Wuxi Biologics.** The Chinese contract development and manufacturing outsourcer (CDMO), benefits from the shift of increasingly complex drug development and drug production which is favouring the life-science and tools sectors. We see structural growth (i.e. 10+ years) in bioprocessing and within that, single use technologies (SUT). Wuxi has a leading share in early stage clinical trials with its leading discovery platform and the largest SUT platform to quickly scale new drugs. With combined tailwinds from biologics, SUT penetration, CDMO outsourcing and China-specific growth, we see Wuxi Biologics as being in a sweet spot over the long term. Notable ESG strengths are strong management and the company culture. In particular, Wuxi Biologics's technology infrastructure utilises SUT which saves a significant amount of water, energy and chemicals in the manufacturing process when compared with stainless steel. Some weaknesses in ESG include a board that is not independent or diverse; however, we have engaged with the company several times on this issue and it is taking steps to improve this.

#### Additional commentary

**Kingspan.** Kingspan is a stock we bought into the weakness brought by concerns around the Grenfell enquiry in late November/ early December. The stock fits well into greener buildings initiatives, as a leader in insulation materials for the construction industry – we rate this stock highly on the Sustainability front as a result. The Grenfell inquiry brought up some controls and monitoring issues in relation to Kingspan's UK business. Although Kingspan had no role in the design or planning of the cladding system used in the Grenfell tower, its K15 product constituted 5% of the rainscreen insulation boards ordered for use on Grenfell Tower. We understand that Kingspan did not have in place any controls or monitoring of where its products were being distributed beyond the Wholesalers it used. Further, Kingspan admitted to "process shortcomings" in the historic testing of K15 and offered a full and sincere apology. We engaged extensively with management are satisfied that the substantial changes they have made – full traceability of products and management change at the UK and executive levels to name a few – are addressed at preventing a repeat of these events. The company also hired external consultants to assess the UK operations' governance and bring additional recommendations to the company. Furthermore, tighter UK regulation requiring more detailed product safety characteristics labelling should also further tackle some of the issues related to fitting wrong products in terms of safety characteristics to specific buildings. With the rapid steps that Kingspan has taken to tackle its Governance shortfalls, we took the view that the Governance risk is no higher than what we had rated during our initial analysis.

### 3. Fund review of turnover and turnover costs

---

<b>Annual turnover %</b>	114.79	<i>Lesser of (purchases or sales)/Average fund size x 100</i>
<b>Fund transaction costs (GBP)</b>	149,916.42	<i>Total brokerage and execution charges</i>

---

Source: Martin Currie as at 31 December 2020. Legg Mason IF Martin Currie Global Unconstrained Fund.

**The information provided should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular strategy/fund/security. It should not be assumed that any of the security transactions discussed here were or will prove to be profitable.**

## 4. Proxy voting

ISS is our proxy voting advisor and provides voting recommendations for Martin Currie in accordance with their own policy which is closely aligned with our internal policy. As appropriate, they engage with public issuers, shareholders, activists, and other stakeholders to seek additional information and to gain insight and context in order to provide informed vote recommendations.

Where clients assign us the proxies Martin Currie's starting point is to act in the best interests of our clients. Our voting decisions are informed by both our own internal work and that of our proxy advisor and specialist governance advisor. We assess voting matters on a case-by-case basis, taking into account a company's circumstances but are guided by our over-arching principles on good corporate governance. The assessment is carried out by the member of the investment team with responsibility for the stock in conjunction with the Head of Stewardship and ESG. We recognise that regulatory frameworks vary across markets and that corporate governance practices vary internationally. Where the recommendations of our advisors, both for and against proposals, are supported by our guidelines and our internal research we will generally vote in accordance with these recommendations.

### 4.1. Significant votes

Company Name	Chevron	Pepsi	Procter & Gamble
Company descriptor	Major Integrated Oil Producer	Global Beverage and Snack Company	Global Consumer Products Company
Issue	Insufficient transparency on key climate related risks and lack of transparency in lobbying policies on climate related issues.	Shareholder rights	Improving Corporate Disclosure on key ESG risks
Governance, Environmental or Social	Environmental	Governance	Social, Environmental
Objective	We wish the company to reflect shareholder concerns that it is not being as open, transparent and aligned to the Paris Agreement as it could be. We believe that the company should be acting as positive agent of change in the climate agenda and that would best position the business for long term success.	The company wished to retain a higher than best practice (in our view) threshold for shareholders to call a special meeting.	As a consumer facing business with significant reputational risk from any controversy, we think it is imperative that the company follow best practice in its own operations and through its supply chain in managing and reporting on key ESG risks. Shareholder resolutions opposed by management on deforestation and diversity and inclusion initiatives were an opportunity missed for the company to continue to advance its management of these issues.

The information provided should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular strategy/fund/security. It should not be assumed that any of the security transactions discussed here were or will prove to be profitable.

#### 4.1. Significant votes (cont)

Company Name	Chevron	Pepsi	Procter & Gamble
Scope and process (of relevant engagement)	We have continued our dialogue with the company which has reflected these concerns on similar issues over a number of years.	We contacted the company to provide the company a chance to add any comments or additional disclosure on the topics we had issues with. We had a call with their Counsel to discuss these issues.	We have continued when we have met management to highlight the importance of a proactive agenda in managing ESG risks within the business. An open attitude to shareholder requests in this area is part of this process.
(Voting) outcome	We voted against management on a number of issues related to their environmental lobbying behaviour as we had done in previous years and supported shareholder resolutions on climate lobbying in relation to the Paris Agreement Goals and also their wider Lobbying Payments and Policy.	Whilst the company viewpoint of not wanting to have corporate business routinely taken over by activist shareholders was understandable we believe the lack of precedent of these events occurring and the size of position that would be required to call a meeting even at a reduced threshold would have limited the opportunity for this to become a problem. As a result, we voted in favour of a shareholder resolution which aimed to reduce the threshold for shareholders to call a special meeting.	We voted for a shareholder resolution calling on the company to report on efforts to eliminate deforestation and in addition voted for a shareholder resolution for the company to publish an annual report on its diversity and inclusion efforts.

#### 5. Conflicts of interest

A fundamental ethical principle of Martin Currie is to pay due regard to the interests of our clients and to manage potential conflicts of interest fairly. We take a holistic view of conflict risk and conflict mitigation and have policies, systems and controls in place to identify such potential conflicts between ourselves and our clients, as well as between one client and another, to achieve consistent treatment of conflicts of interest throughout the business. We aim to manage any conflicts of interest that may arise and to ensure, as far as practicable, that such conflicts do not adversely affect the interests of our clients. A robust conflict management process is in place which is owned by the Executive Risk Group (ERG). Activities which could create a potential conflict of interest are recorded on the conflicts register and are reviewed by the business regularly to ensure that controls in place remain adequate to mitigate any risk of a conflict arising. The output of this review is reviewed by the ERG. There were no conflicts of interest in relation to this fund during 2020.

#### 6. Securities lending policy

We do not participate in security lending for this fund.

The information provided should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular strategy/fund/security. It should not be assumed that any of the security transactions discussed here were or will prove to be profitable.

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This information is issued and approved by Martin Currie Investment Management Limited ('MCIM'), authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. It does not constitute investment advice. Market and currency movements may cause the capital value of shares, and the income from them, to fall as well as rise and you may get back less than you invested.

The information contained in this document has been compiled with considerable care to ensure its accuracy. However, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made to its accuracy or completeness. Martin Currie has procured any research or analysis contained in this document for its own use. It is provided to you only incidentally and any opinions expressed are subject to change without notice.

This document may not be distributed to third parties. It is confidential and intended only for the recipient. The recipient may not photocopy, transmit or otherwise share this document, or any part of it, with any other person without the express written permission of Martin Currie Investment Management Limited.

The document does not form the basis of, nor should it be relied upon in connection with, any subsequent contract or agreement. It does not constitute, and may not be used for the purpose of, an offer or invitation to subscribe for or otherwise acquire shares in any of the products mentioned.

### **Past performance is not a guide to future returns.**

The distribution of specific products is restricted in certain jurisdictions, investors should be aware of these restrictions before requesting further specific information. The views expressed are opinions of the portfolio managers as of the date of this document and are subject to change based on market and other conditions and may differ from other portfolio managers or of the firm as a whole. These opinions are not intended to be a forecast of future events, a guarantee of future results or investment advice.

Please note the information within this report has been produced internally using unaudited data and has not been independently verified. Whilst every effort has been made to ensure its accuracy, no guarantee can be given. The information provided should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular strategy/fund/security. It should not be assumed that any of the security transactions discussed here were or will prove to be profitable.

The analysis of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors forms an important part of the investment process and helps inform investment decisions. The strategy does not necessarily target particular sustainability outcomes.



**MARTIN CURRIE**

**Martin Currie Investment Management Limited**, registered in Scotland (no SC066107) **Martin Currie Inc**, incorporated in New York and having a UK branch registered in Scotland (no SF000300), Saltire Court, 20 Castle Terrace, Edinburgh EH1 2ES

Tel: (44) 131 229 5252 Fax: (44) 131 222 2532 [www.martincurrie.com](http://www.martincurrie.com)

Both companies are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Martin Currie Inc, 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017 is also registered with the Securities Exchange Commission. Please note that calls to the above number may be recorded.

© 2021 Martin Currie Investment Management Limited.